Academic Board

7 December 2022



Degree Outcome Statement

This statement gives details of undergraduate degree results at Royal Holloway. It is set out to ensure transparency of our pattern of awards and it also gives details of forms of assessment, developments in teaching, learning and assessment, the system of external review of our standards, and the algorithm used to calculate degree classifications. This statement follows the guidance and recommendations of the UK Standing Committee for Quality Assessment (UKSCQA) and the Quality Assurance Agency. It was compiled following internal review by the College's Quality and Standards Committee, and approved by its Academic Board in December 2022.

Institutional Degree Classification Profile

1.1 Overall pattern of awards for undergraduate degrees 1

	2021/22	2020/21	2019/20	2018/19	2017/18
First class honours	30%	41%	40%	28%	25%
Upper second class honours	53%	48%	51%	54%	57%
Lower second class honours	15%	10%	8%	17%	15%
Third class honours/Pass	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%
Unclassified	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Average entry tariff points	132	136	141	144	151

1.2 Proportion of First and Upper Second Class degree by declared ethnicity

	2021/22	2020/21	2019/20	2018/19	2017/18
Overall	83%	89%	91%	81%	83%
All B&GM	81%	86%	89%	75%	76%
Asian	82%	88%	90%	74%	76%
Black	69%	76%	80%	69%	71%

1.3 Proportion of First and Upper Second Class degrees by subject area 2

	2021/22	2020/21	2019/20	2018/19	2017/18
Engr, Phys. and Math. Sciences	75%	84%	85%	70%	69%
Humanities	92%	90%	96%	91%	92%
Law and Social Sciences	78%	87%	92%	75%	76%
Life Sciences and Environment	86%	92%	89%	82%	81%
Management	81%	91%	93%	76%	79%
Performing and Digital Arts	92%	90%	92%	91%	91%

1.4 Proportion of First and Upper Second Class degree by other characteristics

	2021/22	2020/21	2019/20	2018/19	2017/18
Female	86%	91%	94%	85%	86%
Male	79%	87%	88%	76%	78%
IMD Quintile 1 ³	70%	82%	82%	72%	71%
Declared disability	86%	89%	93%	85%	85%

1.5 Proportion of First Class degree by declared ethnicity and other characteristics

	2021/22	2020/21	2019/20	2018/19	2017/18
Overall	30%	41%	40%	28%	25%
All B&GM	24%	38%	37%	21%	20%
Female	31%	41%	40%	29%	25%
Male	27%	40%	41%	26%	26%
IMD Quintile 13	21%	33%	33%	21%	14%
Declared disability	35%	43%	40%	32%	24%

1.6 Proportion of First Class degree by subject area

	2021/22	2020/21	2019/20	2018/19	2017/18
Engr, Phys. and Math. Sciences	40%	49%	56%	43%	42%
Humanities	30%	34%	35%	28%	20%
Law and Social Sciences	23%	38%	39%	23%	26%
Life Sciences and Environment	32%	46%	39%	25%	27%
Management	26%	38%	38%	26%	23%
Performing and Digital Arts	39%	48%	43%	33%	28%

All summaries have been calculated based on HESA core output files for each individual reporting cycle.

Awarding patterns in 2022 are closer to 2019 and 2018 than in either 2021 or 2020. It is our expectation that awarding patterns, and the number of first class awards, will continue to be closer to pre-pandemic patterns than those seen during the pandemic.

- ¹ Percentages are for all students awarded a First degree in the year and exclude students who have failed to complete.
- ² Disciplinary divisions correspond to Royal Holloway's School divisions.
- ³ English Indices of Multiple Deprivation, highest (ie most deprived) quintile. This data uses English-domiciled students only.

2. Assessment and Marking Practices

Marking practices at Royal Holloway are rigorous, systematic and robust. All marking is anonymous, where practicable. There is compulsory double-marking or moderation of marking, following clear guidelines. While Royal Holloway has sought to diversify forms of assessment, unseen timed examinations remain a core element of our assessment regimes comprising around 25% of summative marks from unseen timed examination.

All courses at Royal Holloway are assessed in relation to detailed marking criteria that reference benchmarking statements and other sector reference points, including PSRB requirements. Academic staff are given guidance and training in the use of these criteria. There are specific, discipline-appropriate criteria for different forms of assessment. These criteria are publicised and explained to students, and one aspect of degree improvement over the past decade is that students have much greater awareness of the requirements of assessment. Criteria-based assessment is an integral mandatory element of the required training for new academic staff that leads to Fellowship of the Higher Education Academy (HEA). HEA accredited Continuing Professional Development for established academic staff also requires detailed reflection on assessment/marking/feedback and quality assurance practices, and Advance HE data report that the percentage of academic staff with any type of Fellowship at RHUL rose to 61.5% in 2021/22.

Royal Holloway implements and retains a system of stepped marking (with three assessment points in each class) to bring greater consistency to marking, and to ensure parity in marking practices for all undergraduate students. The system was also introduced in response to sustained and consistent feedback from External Examiners that the full range of marks should be used, and that in particular, examples of outstanding undergraduate work should be awarded marks in the 80%+ and very exceptionally in the 90%+ range.

Assessment at Royal Holloway is quality assured through an extensive system of external expertise, complying fully with expectations and practices set out in the UK Quality Code for Higher Education: Advice and Guidance on External Expertise published in November 2018. The system of external expertise has developed in accordance with previous Quality Assurance Agency (QAA) Quality Codes. In 2021-22 the undergraduate degrees were reviewed by around 76 External Examiners, all subject experts from established UK universities, appointed in line with the detailed guidance in Chapter B7 of the QAA Quality Code. External Examiners provide signed assurance that standards of award are in line with those at comparable institutions, and since 2018-19 have been explicitly asked to give assurance that standards are

also consistent between different cohorts at Royal Holloway (External Examiners typically serve for 3 years). External Examiners routinely inspect samples of marked work, and comment on appropriate standards for individual modules as well as overall course progression and outcomes. External expertise is also central to course development, validation, and revalidation. In all these exercises reference is made, where applicable, to relevant QAA Subject Benchmark Standards. Many of Royal Holloway's undergraduate degrees also undergo regular reaccreditation by relevant Professional, Statutory and Regulatory bodies.

Royal Holloway is taking steps to strengthen external scrutiny of award standards. The College is organised into 6 Schools each comprising around 4 or 5 cognate disciplines. As well as retaining subject specialist External Examiners, from 2019-20 the College appointed a Chief External Examiner for each School, whose role includes the calibration of awards against award pattern in other universities, and assurance of consistency of standards over time. These are experienced External Examiners. In 2020 the College appointed for the first time a Principal External Examiner, who serves as an external member of the College's Executive Committee for Assessment and whose annual report is scrutinised by Council. The Principal External Examiner is responsible for assurance of overall institutional standards and assessment regimes.

In 2020, the pandemic disrupted operations, but all assessments were delivered within a quality assured and consistent framework that minimised the impact of disruption on students and maintained academic rigour. We adapted to new models of assessment, using a range of technological adaptions to ensure assessment despite the restrictions in place. The College has reviewed what worked in this change and continued the use of much of the 2020 forced innovation in assessment in 2021 and in 2022 as each year a further return to normal operation of assessment became possible. Our expectation is to continue to develop the learning and innovation in assessment methods post pandemic.

3. Academic Governance

The Academic Board has overall responsibility for academic standards at Royal Holloway. Its functions in this respect work primarily through the system of Examination Boards, and its Quality and Standards Committee. The College operates a system of Departmental Assessment Boards (DABs), which review the marking and standards of work on individual modules and courses, reporting to School Progression and Awards Boards (SPABs), that make decisions about student awards, progression and extenuating circumstances. Both DABs and SPABs are required to review standards in relation to the wider sector and previous cohorts, and the parity of standards between on-campus provision and courses delivered through partnership arrangements. External Examiners attend and sign-off on module standards at DABs, while Chief External Examiners sign-off on award standards at SPABs. All awards delivered through partnership arrangements are subject to Royal Holloway's marking and moderation policies, with overall oversight of standards monitored by Chief External Examiners. The College's Executive Committee for Assessment (ECA) has institutional oversight of the system of Boards and Awards. The Principal External Examiner is a member of the ECA.

Detailed scrutiny of quality, standards, regulations and awards is undertaken by the Quality and Standards Committee of the Academic Board (QASC). QASC reviews patterns of award on Royal Holloway courses, particularly monitoring changes in award pattern and marked differences from sector norms. QASC reviews Royal Holloway award patterns using statistical analyses that analyse award patterns and entry tariffs across the sector and at similar institutions. The committee also reviews comments on standards from External Examiners. In recent years, QASC has asked Departments to review and modify forms of assessment, assessment weighting and assessment criteria.

Council, the College's Governing Body provides independent scrutiny of academic quality assurance at Royal Holloway, particularly through the work of the Students, Education and Research Committee (SER). Each year QASC, working alongside the College's Academic Quality and Policy Office (AQPO), produces an Annual Quality and Standards Report, which includes detailed statistical overview of progression and awards, and a record of External Examining activity and reports. This is approved by the Academic Board and is scrutinised by SER. SER may audit procedures and cross-examine College officers. Subject to acceptable standards, SER recommends that Council signs-off the Annual Quality and Standards Report.

This in the past was required by HEFCE and has been maintained as a way of indicating Council's confidence that Royal Holloway is meeting the Office for Students' ongoing conditions of registration.

4. Classification Algorithm

Royal Holloway has a single degree algorithm that applies across all undergraduate disciplines and degree courses, with appropriate and consistent variations for four-year integrated Masters MSci and MEng degrees, transfer students, and courses with a year abroad and/or a year in industry.

For three year (or equivalent) undergraduate degrees (BA, BMus, BSc, BSc (Econ), LLB.):

- First Stage (Year One for a full-time student) is on a pass-fail basis and does not contribute to the final year classification.
- The Final Average is the average of performance at Stage Two and Stage Three (Years Two and Three for full time students completing in three years), with Stage Three double-weighted, calculated to one decimal place:

Final Average = 2nd Stage Average + 2x(final Stage Average)

3

- The Final Average is used for classification, so students achieving 70.0% or better are awarded First Class honours; 60.0% to 69.9% are awarded Upper Second Class honours; 50.0% to 59.9% are awarded Lower Second Class honours; and 40.0% to 49.9% Third Class honours.
- Students within 2% of a class boundary who have achieved at least 60 credits in the final stage (half of the available 120 credits in the final stage) in the higher class are automatically raised. So, for example, a student with a Final Average of 58.8%, but with four 15 credits modules graded above 60% (half the year) would be awarded an Upper Second Class degree.

The algorithm is simple and consistent across all disciplines in the College. It achieves fair outcomes for all students by avoiding disciplinary variations and 'local practice', and potentially unfair discretionary decisions. The assessment of Stage One on a pass-fail basis helps us to support all students to benefit from and succeed in higher education. It recognises the importance and potential difficulties of transition to university, and the development of learning skills among a diversity of students. Our algorithm recognises the progressive nature of university learning by double-weighting the final stage of degrees.

The core algorithm remains unchanged since 2007. There have been changes to the zone for raising. This was set at 2% in 2011, but with discretionary powers to raise given to Examination Boards. To ensure equal treatment for all students, this was changed to automatic raising subject to the 'final average must be within 2.0% of one of the classification boundaries and the marks for at least 60 credits counting in the final stage must be above the relevant classification boundary' rule from 2014-15 onwards.

Royal Holloway has tight rules and limits on resits. In general terms resits are available to allow students to progress or graduate by resitting failed modules. Except in documented and approved extenuating circumstances, resit marks are capped at 40% (50% for final year MSci and MEng courses), and do not have a material effect on classification outcomes. Students without documented and approved extenuating circumstances are limited to two attempts at a module.

Emergency regulations were applied in spring 2020 for the academic year 2019/20 in response to the Covid-19 pandemic. Third term assessments were made through 'open book' style and other alternative timed assessments, and through extended coursework. Finalists were given a 'Safety Net' (or 'no detriment') baseline classification below which their final class could not fall, based on all counting summative assessments completed before March 2020. The final year mark entered into the classification algorithm was based on the average of the best 90 of 120 credits. For the academic year 2020/21 the College introduced a Fairness and Assurance Policy which carried forward certain mitigations introduced in response to the pandemic. These included a relaxation on the number of condoned fails permitted for

progression, less prescriptive requirements in support of claims for extenuating circumstances and extensions, extended resit opportunities for failed assessments and the introduction of a 'Safety Net' calculation for all 2021/22 Second and Third Year (non-finalist) students to be undertaken at the point of award. For the academic year 2021/22 the College reverted to standard academic regulations, although the College has retained one of the mitigations put in place in response to the pandemic namely that students are now permitted to graduate with marks in the condonable fail range for 90 credits of modules, split evenly over the three years of study.

5. Teaching Practices and Learning Resources

Several enhancements to teaching practices, learning resources and course curriculum and assessment design have contributed to improved student performance in the past 3 years:

- i. Royal Holloway has made significant investment in Library and digital provision of learning resources, most notably with the opening of a major new Library building in 2017 at a cost of £57m. There is substantial and measurable evidence of greater student use of physical and digital resources, and the creation of a central learning space on the campus has positively impacted student engagement and learning culture.
- ii. As noted above, Royal Holloway makes greater use of marking criteria, and there is greater transparency of marking criteria, particularly through the use of rubrics and criteria-based feedback. This is to ensure our students have a clear sense of what is required to achieve higher classification marks. Our National Student Survey score in 2022 to the question "The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance" was 67% and work continues to further improve this aspect of our work.
- iii. There has been review of assessment strategies. Royal Holloway has diversified its assessment, but retains a substantial proportion of unseen examination. It has also addressed bunching of assessment deadlines for coursework, and in some disciplines has decreased the volume of coursework assessment. This involved systematic comparison with other institutions, and was often instigated by External Examiners' comments on assessment regimes. Assessment at Royal Holloway has become better organised and managed, giving students greater opportunity to fulfil their potential.
- iv. Royal Holloway has enhanced support for students with increased focus on study skills. This is embedded systematically within degree courses, with a strong focus on the transition to university. This is also supported by a College-wide Centre for the Development of Academic Skills (CeDAS), which has provided extensive structured support in academic writing, and in key numeracy skills underpinning degrees in sciences and social sciences. This additional support for study skills has helped students across the range of award outcomes, but is likely to have been particularly significant at the 2:2/2:1 borderline.
- v. Royal Holloway has improved the professional development of new and established academic staff, notably through Higher Education Academy-accredited CPD that requires detailed reflection on assessment/marking/feedback and quality assurance approaches. The proportion of academic staff with HEA fellowship increased from 55.2% in 2020-21 to 61.5% in 2021-22.
- vi. The details of degree results above indicate attainment gaps for some groups of students. In line with our Access and Participation Plan, and our commitment to deliver successful outcomes for all student groups, Royal Holloway will support these student groups to achieve higher degree classifications, and will continue to target support, resources and curriculum changes at achieving those objectives.

6. Identifying Good Practice and actions, and Further Review

Items i-vi in the previous section are identified as examples of good practice. In addition, the College has adopted a data-led approach to the scrutiny of standards through the work of QASC. This involves annual calibration of award patterns against the sector and similar institutions, with analysis of entry tariff patterns. This takes place discipline by discipline.

Royal Holloway supports initiatives to maintain the standards and value of awards. It will undertake further actions to strengthen standards in 2022/23 and 2023/24.

- i. Royal Holloway has a strong, embedded and integrated approach to External Examining and academic governance. The introduction and embedding of both School-level Chief Examiners and Independent Principal External Examiner with responsibility for assurance of overall institutional standards and assessment regimes is effective and ensures a consistent, rigorous and informed approach to award and classification. Their annual report will become part of the scrutiny of quality assurance and standards by Council.
- ii. Royal Holloway will strengthen data, guidance and training for External Examiners. Data packs for External Examiners have been extended for 2022/23 exam boards, and the College is providing more detailed data about past student performance on individual modules. The College will also strengthen its engagement with the professional development of External Examiners through active participation in Advance HE initiatives.
- iii. Royal Holloway's core algorithm and resit policy is in line with sector-norms and expectations. We are strongly committed to retaining a single, simple system to ensure fairness between students. We welcome wide sector level review of algorithms and zones for raising to achieve more consistency, and intend to review arrangements in 2022-23, drawing upon sector discussions and in consultation with our External Examiners.
- iv. The College uses a diverse range of assessment methods and is committed to greater use of technology in assessment.
- v. In common with all of the UK HE sector, assessment was impacted by the pandemic but robust assessment was delivered smoothly and with the minimum of disruption. Further, we are applying the learning and experience from delivering assessment during the pandemic to assessment in current and future years.
- vi. Implementation of the Assessment Futures Initiative. This is a systematic, College-wide review of all UG and PGT taught provision with a focus on enhancing and implementing more authentic assessment with reduced assessment load, and greater constructive alignment to all course learning outcomes. Changes to assessments will come into effect from 2024/25.